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Abstract. **Objective.** The aim of this study was to evaluate the effect of higher energy levels of extracorporal shock wave therapy (ESWT) in tennis elbow and painful heel.

**Methods.** Nineteen patients with tennis elbow and 44 patients with painful heel in which conservative treatment had failed underwent ESWT. Three times 3000 shock waves in three successive weekly sessions were applied with an energy density of 0.12 mJ/mm² per shock wave.

**Results.** After a follow up of 5 respectively 6 months pain measured on a visual analogue scale (VAS) decreased significantly in both groups. The success rate (excellent and good results) was 63% in tennis elbows and 70% in painful heels.

**Conclusion.** ESWT seems to be a useful conservative alternative in the treatment of both conditions. Higher energy levels do not lead to a better outcome.
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Orthopaedic University Hospital, Homburg/Saar, Germany
For more than 15 years shock waves were successfully used in urology to
desintegrate kidney and ureteric stones. The procedure was then applied in
gastroenterology for the treatment of stones located in the gallbladder, common bile
duct or pancreas and in oto-rhino-laryngology for the treatment of salivary stones.

In 1991 the method was practiced for the first time in the musculoskeletal
system in pseudarthrosis. Since then the extracorporeal shock wave therapy
(ESWT) showed encouraging results in the treatment of soft tissue pain especially calcifying tendinitis of the shoulder, chronic pain at the elbow and
heel. Despite the long history of this method there are still many questions unanswered mainly regarding the mechanism of the effect, the dosage, and long
term effects.

The shock wave itself is a non-linear high pressure impulse. It is characterized
by an extremely high increase of pressure, a high maximum of pressure, a steep
decrease of pressure with subsequent negative pressure wave. To induce the shock
wave there are different principles in use: electro-hydraulic, electro-magnetic and
piezo-electric systems. The shock wave is defined by following physical details: focus
geometry (length and diameter [mm]), focus pressure maximum [bar] and focus
energy density [mJ/mm²].

The focus energy density is nowadays used to compare treatment protocols of
ESWT performed with machines of different induction principles. Arbitrarily the
treatment levels were classified in low energetic (0,04 to 0,12 mJ/mm²) and high
energetic (more than 0,12 mJ/mm²).
The aim of this study was to evaluate the effect of ESWT in patients with tennis elbow and painful heel treated with energy densities at the borderline from low to high energy levels without anaesthesia.

Materials and Methods

Between December 1997 and November 1998 nineteen patients with epicondylitis humeri radialis (tennis elbow) and 44 patients with a painful heel (calcaneal) spur underwent low energy extracorporal shock wave therapy (ESWT) in a prospective study at the Orthopaedic University Hospital, Homburg/Saar, Germany. In one case with painful heel spur we could not obtain post therapy results.

We included patients treated conservatively unsuccessfully at least 3 months. The treatment consisted of local and systemic antiinflammatories, local steroid injections, electro-therapy and physiotherapy. Typical pain had to be elicited by palpation at the lateral humeral epicondyl and with typical provocation tests (resisted wrist extension and middle finger extension) and at the radiologically proved calcaneal spur respectively.

We excluded patients with coagulation disorders, pregnancy, tumor in the area of treatment and neurologic disorders.

The extracorporal shock wave therapy (ESWT) was performed with the experimental device Piezoson 300 (Richard Wolf, Knittlingen, Germany), a piezo-electric system with ultrasound detection (Fig. 1). Three times 3000 shock waves were applied over three consecutive weeks (3000 shock waves per weekly setting).
The group of patients with tennis elbow consisted of 8 women and 11 men with an average age of 46.4 years. They underwent conservative treatment for at least 6 months in all cases. The average energy density of a shock wave was 0.12 mJ/mm² (min 0.07 mJ/mm², max 0.17 mJ/mm²). Follow up was done after 6 months (min 2 months, max 10 months).

Forty-three of 44 patients with painful heel pain could be followed up. There were 29 women and 14 men (average age 53.9 years). The duration of symptoms and conservative therapy in one case was 3 months in all other cases more than 6 months. The average energy density of a shock wave was 0.12 mJ/mm² (min 0.07 mJ/mm², max 0.16 mJ/mm²). Follow up was done after 5 months (min 1.5 months, max 11 months).

Before ESWT and at the above mentioned follow up pain intensity was estimated by the patient on the visual analogue scale (VAS) ranging from no pain (0) to maximal pain (100). In addition patients should state their satisfaction with the result afterwards ranging from excellent, good, moderate to bad. Statistical analysis was done with the Wilcoxon test a non parametrical test for paired samples.

Results

In patients with tennis elbow (19 cases) the average pre-treatment VAS for pain was 63.2 (SD 19.4). At follow up after 6 months the VAS yielded 34.0 (SD 33.0). This decrease was significant with p < 0.01 (Fig. 2). The individual improvement [%] of the VAS after therapy is shown in Fig. 3. In 9 cases (47%) the decrease was 61% to 100%, in 7 cases (37%) there was no improvement or worsening.
Regarding their satisfaction with the result 5 patients voted excellent (26%), 7 good (37%). In 3 cases (16%) the result was stated as moderate and the remaining 4 patients (21%) voted bad.

Fig. 2 Visual Analogue Scale (VAS) in 19 patients with tennis elbow before and 6 months after ESWT
* p < 0.01 (Wilcoxon test)
Fig. 3 Improvement [%] of visual analogue scale (VAS) in 19 patients with tennis elbow 6 months after ESWT

The pre-treatment VAS in the group of patients with painful heel (43 cases) was 70,1 (SD 15,0) in average. After 5 months the pain measured on the VAS reduced with p < 0,001 significantly to 29,1 (SD 24,2) (Fig. 4). Fig. 5 shows the individual development of the VAS in percent. Twenty-four cases (56%) improved by 61% to 100%, 8 cases (19%) did not change or worsened.

13 patients (30%) judged the result as excellent, 17 as good (40%). The other patients voted moderate (6 cases; 14%) and bad (7 cases; 16%).
Fig. 4 Visual Analogue Scale (VAS) in 43 patients with painful heel before and 5 months after ESWT

** p < 0.001 (Wilcoxon test)
Discussion

Although the tennis elbow was described for the first time as "writer's cramp" in 1873 by Runge, the knowledge of its etiology and pathology is still vague. It is believed to be an overuse syndrome due to repetitive tension overloading of the wrist extensor origins at the lateral epicondyle. Nevertheless various means of conservative treatment including physiotherapy, stretching, immobilisation, non-steroidal antiinflammatories and steroid injections are recommended before a
surgical approach is considered. Various techniques are described with improvement rates of more than 80% \(^{(14,15)}\).

ESWT for tennis elbows as an alternative to surgery after conservative treatment has failed was described by different authors with different protocols. Rompe et al. \(^{(16)}\) treated with 3x1000 shock waves of 0,06 mJ/mm\(^2\) and reported a success rate (excellent and good results) of 73% after 6 months follow up. With 3x1000 shock waves of 0,08 mJ/mm\(^2\) they reached success rates of 56% and 48% after 3 and 6 months follow up respectively \(^{(17,9)}\). Krischek et al. \(^{(18)}\) achieved with 3x500 shock waves of 0,08 mJ/mm\(^2\) a success rate of 60% after 6 months.

Painful heel is a common complaint in the foot of the elderly. The exact cause is unknown but predisposing factors like high age, obesity, plain foot, increased pronation of the foot, calcaneal spur may aggravate exerting stress on the origin of the plantar fascia at the medial process of the calcaneal tuberosity. Numerous conservative treatments were proposed and surgical treatment in case of failure promises up to 80% satisfactory results \(^{(19,20)}\).

ESWT in conservatively unsuccessfully treated patients was carried out with different protocols. Rompe et al. achieved with 3x1000 shockwaves of 0,08 mJ/mm\(^2\) a success rate of 48% after 6 months \(^{(21)}\) with 3x1000 shock waves of 0,06 mJ/mm\(^2\) a success rate of 77,3% after 24 months \(^{(19)}\). Krischek et al. \(^{(11)}\) reached a success rate of 58% with 3x500 shock waves of 0,08 mJ/mm\(^2\) (follow up 12 months).

The painful process of both conditions is a local inflammatory reaction which leads to stimulation of the CNS via ascending pain pathways. It is believed that hyperstimulation of the painful spot by shock waves causes activation of descending inhibitory fibers on brain stem level. This could lead to a control of transmission through the dorsal horns as well as at higher levels in the somatic projection system.
and thus to suppression of pain. The longlasting pain relief after a short period of hyperstimulation could be due to a change of pathologic moving patterns. Our results with success rates (excellent and good outcome) of 63% in patients with tennis elbow and 70% in patients with painful heel match the above quoted literature. The individual response in tennis elbows (Fig. 3) showed a bimodal pattern: ESWT either resolved the pain completely or did not work at all. In painful heels the individual response curve (Fig. 5) presented a high peak of total and subtotal improvement but also gradual responses. In our study the overall energy density was higher than in other the published data. The results do not indicate that higher energy levels improve the success rate significantly. ESWT seems to be useful as a conservative alternative in so far unsuccessfully treated patients with tennis elbow or painful heel. Further studies in similar conditions of the musculoskeletal system should be performed to open the method for other indications.
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